Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/714,367	CRAW ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jason M. Han	2875
Participants: Status of Application: 71 (response to non-final)		
(1) <u>Carlo M. Cotrone</u> .	(3) <u>S.P.E. Sanrda O'Shea</u> .	
(2) <u>Jason M. Han</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>13 September 2006</u>	Time: <u>4:15 PM EST</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1, 6, 9, 11, 12, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25, 28, 32, 39, 40, 41		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to amend the Independent Claims to incorporate a reference point, "a top", so as to further define the "sidewall" of the main housing. Applicant further agreed to amend the claims to define a "fan unit" being disposed onto the sidewall, so as to render patentability over the prior art..